



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/743,739 | 10/743,739 12/24/2003 | | 037003-0307368 1997-30-05 | 9111 | | |
| 909 7 | 590 12/06/2005 | | EXAMINER | | | |
| PILLSBURY P.O. BOX 105 | WINTHROP SHAW | NICKOL, | NICKOL, GARY B | | | |
| MCLEAN, V | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | | |
| • | | | 1642 | | | |

DATE MAILED: 12/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|--------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/743,739 | • | HANNA ET AL. | | | | | |
| | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | | Gary B. Nic | kol Ph.D. | 1642 | | | | |
| The MA Period for Reply | AILING DATE of this commun | ication appe | ears on the | cover sheet with the c | orrespondence ad | ldress | | | |
| WHICHEVER - Extensions of tim after SIX (6) MON - if NO period for re - Failure to reply wi Any reply receive | ED STATUTORY PERIOD F IS LONGER, FROM THE M e may be available under the provisions ITHS from the mailing date of this common thin the set or extended period for reply d by the Office later than three months in adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | MAILING DA's of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. tatutory period will will, by statute, or | TE OF THI 6(a). In no ever Il apply and will cause the applic | S COMMUNICATION It, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the total content of the content | N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | | |
| 1)☐ Respons | sive to communication(s) file | ed on | _ | | | | | | |
| · | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | | | |
| • | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of CI | | | • | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>38-46</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) | | | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) | | | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) | 38-46 are subject to restric | tion and/or e | election red | luirement. | | | | | |
| Application Pape | rs | | | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The spec | cification is objected to by th | e Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The draw | ving(s) filed on is/are | : a)□ acce _l | pted or b) | objected to by the F | Examiner. | | | | |
| Applicant | may not request that any obje | ction to the di | rawing(s) be | held in abeyance. See | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 | U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | | | |
| | • | • | - | | eu in inis ivalional | Stage | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | | |
| 000 1110 0 | | | | su dopies not receive | .u. | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsp 3) Information Disc | person's Patent Drawing Review (F losure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or | PTO-948) | | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) ☐ Notice of Informal P | | D-152\ | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mai | | F10/5B/08) | | 6) Other: | atont Application (F10 | J-102) | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 42 and 45 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species as set forth below:

Claim 42 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising the following conditions/diseases: cancer, parasitic infection, and viral infection which differ at least in etiology, pathology, and mechanisms. As such each species would not be interchanged with the other and each would require different searches and the consideration of different patentability issues.

Claim 45 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising the following antigens: gp100, MART-1/Melan A, gp75, tyorinase, MAGE, BAGE, GAGE, RAGE, etc.

The products of the above species represent separate and distinct molecules with different structures and functions such that one species could not be interchanged with the other. As such, each species would require different searches and the consideration of different patentability issues.

A telephone call was made to Tom Cawley on December 1, 2005 to request an oral election to the above species requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/743,739 Page 4

Art Unit: 1642

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary B. Nickol Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-0835. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 8:30-5:30; alternate Fri., 8:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Siew can be reached on 571-272-0787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gary B. Nickol Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1642

GBN Janys Mikal

> GARY B. NICKOL, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER